

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/412,362	JENDICK, MANFRED	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Louis K. Huynh	3721	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Louis K. Huynh.

(3) Mr. Schutter.

(2) Steve Gerrity.

(4) Mr. Paul Bowen.

Date of Interview: 6/3/02

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 44, 63 and 83

Identification of prior art discussed: Stasiuk, Kubacki et al., Carlson

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The pending claims were discussed and the rejs under 102 and 103 were discussed. The prior art does not appear to disclose engraving into the metal. Etching of a coating or layer of the metal is disclosed in Stasiuk. App. may amend claims 44 & 63 to include language that the metal engraving is of the metal itself. The motivation to combine Kubacki & Carlson will be reconsidered. The motivation

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

to combine Stasiuk and Kubacki appears to be proper when considering the currently pending claims. A supplemental amendment may be filed.

Stephen F. Gerrity

Stephen F. Gerrity

Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required